

Drafting report, cutoff Oct 26, 2026

As of Oct 26, 2026, aiforlawyers.app counts 1033 lawyer voices on drafting; 925 voices name one of 14 tools, 8 of which have 10+ voices and are rated. Highest floor of the 90% band: Claude (net +57, band +47 to +65, 141 voices, Medium confidence).

Online version, data and updates: <https://aiforlawyers.app/report/drafting>

CITE THIS

aiforlawyers.app (AI Vortex), Drafting report, cutoff Oct 26, 2026,
<https://aiforlawyers.app/report/drafting>, licensed CC BY 4.0.

For one figure: “As of Oct 26, 2026, aiforlawyers.app counts 1033 lawyer voices on drafting; 925 voices name one of 14 tools, 8 of which have 10+ voices and are rated. Highest floor of the 90% band: Claude (net +57, band +47 to +65, 141 voices, Medium confidence).”

Every tool, with its voices

14 tools named in 1033 voices on drafting. Rated tools first, ordered by the floor of the 90% band; then the tools with few voices, with their count and no band. Net = like minus dislike per 100 voices.

#	Tool	Status	Voices	Net	90% band	Confidence	Top complaint (voices)
1	Claude	Rated	141	+57	+47 to +65	Medium	Accuracy (17)
2	Gemini	Rated	27	+48	+20 to +68	Low	Accuracy (5)
3	ChatGPT	Rated	573	+22	+16 to +28	High	Accuracy (120)
4	Spellbook	Rated	22	+32	+5 to +53	Low	Accuracy (5)
5	Harvey	Rated	59	+20	+3 to +36	Low	Accuracy (13)
6	Copilot	Rated	30	+17	-8 to +40	Low	Accuracy (7)
7	CoCounsel	Rated	35	+3	-22 to +27	Low	Accuracy (16)
8	Lexis+ AI	Rated	18	-11	-42 to +22	Low	Accuracy (8)
-	Legora	Few voices	9	-	no band: 9 of 10 voices needed	not rated	Accuracy (3)
-	Perplexity	Few voices	4	-	no band: 4 of 10 voices needed	not rated	Accuracy (1)
-	Westlaw AI	Few voices	3	-	no band: 3 of 10 voices needed	not rated	Trust, liability (1)
-	Clio	Few voices	2	-	no band: 2 of 10 voices needed	not rated	none on record
-	Luminance	Few voices	1	-	no band: 1 of 10 voices needed	not rated	Price (1)

#	Tool	Status	Voices	Net	90% band	Confidence	Top complaint (voices)
-	Paxton	Few voices	1	-	no band: 1 of 10 voices needed	not rated	none on record

What lawyers complain about, in their words

The most named complaints per tool, with a verbatim quote each. Counts are voices, not opinions of the firm or of us.

Claude Rated · 141 voices

34 voices of 141 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: accuracy (17), hallucination (8), trust, liability (3), integration (3), price (2), privacy (1).

“This seems like a particularly BAD use case for generic Claude right now.”

r/legaltech · Aug 11, 2026 · Accuracy

“Working on a legal motion, starts making shit up, pure fiction, not in the knowledge base.”

Bluesky · Jan 31, 2025 · Hallucination

Gemini Rated · 27 voices

8 voices of 27 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: accuracy (5), hallucination (3).

“I had not used #gemini in awhile but had good results with it in the past for complex tasks...”

Bluesky · Jan 6, 2026 · Accuracy

“I decided I’m going to read that case to understand the context of the case. It was completely fake.”

r/Lawyertalk · Mar 7, 2026 · Hallucination

ChatGPT Rated · 573 voices

244 voices of 573 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: accuracy (120), hallucination (93), privacy (15), trust, liability (13), price (2), integration (1).

“More annoyingly, I’ll write really thought-out memos and he “revises” them by plugging them into AI and generating a more apathetic, em dash filled version that at least IMO is not better.”

r/Lawyertalk · Aug 2, 2026 · Accuracy

“RFK Jr’s HHS getting caught submitting legal filings where 22 out of 29 footnotes were fabricated by AI!”

Bluesky · Oct 24, 2026 · Hallucination

Spellbook Rated · 22 voices

9 voices of 22 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: accuracy (5), hallucination (2), trust, liability (1), price (1).

"It is unbelievably janky and the drafting quality/consistency is frankly poor."

r/legaltech · Mar 6, 2026 · Accuracy

"I have used Spellbook, which I think is probably solid solution for transactional attorneys, but for litigation, it's only slightly better than ChatGPT (hallucinations are common) and for a much higher price point, so I paused my subscription."

r/Lawyertalk · Oct 19, 2024 · Hallucination

Harvey Rated · 59 voices

29 voices of 59 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: accuracy (13), hallucination (6), price (4), trust, liability (3), integration (3).

"Hard to see how that is really worth the cost savings of just having us do it right from the start."

r/biglaw · Oct 9, 2026 · Accuracy

"It hallucinated a board action with citation to the wrong DGCL section."

r/biglaw · Aug 13, 2026 · Hallucination

Copilot Rated · 30 voices

12 voices of 30 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: accuracy (7), hallucination (3), privacy (1), integration (1).

"I was working with someone who is fairly neutral on AI and was just incensed that Copilot couldn't make a template for legal numbering."

Bluesky · Oct 2, 2026 · Accuracy

*"The output *looks* professional and plausible. However, I found errors in legal explanations, citations that were nonexistent, and different responses to the same prompt."*

r/Lawyertalk · Dec 7, 2024 · Hallucination

CoCounsel Rated · 35 voices

20 voices of 35 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: accuracy (16), integration (2), privacy (1), price (1).

"My boss used it in a conclusion for a brief, and it called the same party 2 different things and did not accurately summarize the argument."

r/paralegal · Oct 9, 2026 · Accuracy

"The goal is for CoCounsel to replace it because we can connect CoCounsel to other things I'm building in Claude but the current set up of CoCounsel is choking on file uploads and has too many restricted file types to be particularly useful in the document heavy cases we work."

r/legaltech · Jul 9, 2026 · Integration

Lexis+ AI Rated · 18 voices

11 voices of 18 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: accuracy (8), price (2), integration (1).

“I can’t stand Lexis AI for writing. I have not tried Claude.”

r/Lawyertalk · Dec 26, 2025 · Accuracy

“I think ai from the existing big players is a mistake. Costs more than it should, they don't specialize, they generalize with items you don't need.”

r/Lawyertalk · Aug 26, 2024 · Price

Legora Few voices · 9 of 10

4 voices of 9 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: accuracy (3), integration (1).

“I love Legora for those purposes, first drafts, brainstorming. But these AI solutions are not reliable”

r/biglaw · Apr 30, 2026 · Accuracy

“I can't stand the card-based Word Add In interface.”

r/legaltech · Sep 17, 2026 · Integration

Perplexity Few voices · 4 of 10

1 voice of 4 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: accuracy (1).

“I got a near final doc from one the other day with a bunch of perplexity bullshit in it.”

Hacker News · Sep 6, 2026 · Accuracy

Westlaw AI Few voices · 3 of 10

1 voice of 3 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: trust, liability (1).

“I don’t recommend the AI stuff that’s out there, at least not yet.”

r/Lawyertalk · May 14, 2026 · Trust, liability

Clio Few voices · 2 of 10

No named complaint on record among its 2 voices.

Luminance Few voices · 1 of 10

1 voice of 1 criticize it or are mixed about it with a named complaint: price (1).

“It’s also an absolute beast to set up, but once you get there it is incredibly powerful and the UI is slick.”

r/legaltech · Oct 18, 2025 · Price

Paxton Few voices · 1 of 10

No named complaint on record among its 1 voice.

Outside tests, next to the record (not our score)

Public tests that include drafting work, with their own publisher, date and caveat. They measure question sets, not lawyers' experience, and are never added to the ranking above. Others are on the arena.

DRAFTING-SPECIFIC INDEPENDENT TEST · LEGAL BENCHMARKS (ANNA GUO AND CO-AUTHORS)

Legal Benchmarks, Phase 2: Benchmarking Humans & AI in Contract Workflows (contract drafting)

published 2025-09 (preliminary findings; tools run July to August 2025) · read 2026-10-23

30 contract-drafting tasks (basic clauses, template adaptation, bespoke clauses; junior to mid level) written by practising lawyers, run on 13 AI tools plus in-house commercial lawyers (about 10 years' experience) as a baseline, 450 task outputs in all. A draft passed only if it met every key element from the contributing lawyer's answer key plus instruction compliance, factual accuracy and legal adequacy. Pass rate averaged 57.3% across the AI tools; the human lawyers reached 56.7%. Top individual tool: Gemini 2.5 Pro at 73.3%, against 70% for the best individual lawyer. The authors report that legal-specific tools did not clearly beat general assistants on draft quality, and that legal tools raised explicit risk warnings more often (83% of high-risk outputs versus 55% for general tools).

Caveat. Run by an independent project, not by a vendor, but the site also sells benchmarking and some tool descriptions carry affiliate-tagged links; 30 tasks and one prompt per tool with default features, so a snapshot of 2025 versions that is over a year old; an LLM jury graded reliability with expert review of borderline cases, and usefulness and workflow scores are expert opinion; one tool is anonymized; the authors say their 2025 figures are not comparable with the current leaderboard pass rates; read from the live page 2026-10-23.

Names: Gemini 2.5 Pro, GPT-5, GC AI, Brackets, August, SimpleDocs.

<https://www.legalbenchmarks.ai/research/phase-2-research>

Method and cutoff

- **What a voice is.** One public post by a lawyer or legal professional that states an experience or a verdict about one AI tool, read by a language model with one fixed schema (tool, task, sentiment, main complaint). Posts that only ask a question or repeat someone else are not counted. Sources: Reddit, Hacker News, Bluesky, Lemmy, Mastodon, Stack Exchange; posts since Jan 1, 2023.

- **Net and band.** Net = (like – dislike) per 100 voices. The 90% band comes from resampling the same voices 4,000 times; a tool is rated from 10 voices and ordered by the floor of its band, so a few happy voices cannot outrank hundreds. Confidence follows the number of voices: Very low, Low from 10, Medium from 60, High from 300.
- **Few voices.** A tool with fewer than 10 voices on drafting is listed with its count and no band. It is not a negative finding.
- **Complaints.** Voices whose sentiment is dislike or mixed and that name one main complaint, counted per complaint. The quotes are verbatim, with their source and date.
- **Cutoff.** Data through Oct 26, 2026; the latest voice on drafting is from Oct 24, 2026. The report is rebuilt with the daily refresh, so the date moves every day.
- **Not in the numbers.** Outside tests are shown apart and never mixed with our figures. Usage is not quality: a tool people use more collects more posts of every kind. Public posts are not a survey of all lawyers. Independence policy: no vendor pays for a place or a number. Full method: how we count.

Use these numbers: the counts, rates and bands in this report are free to reuse under CC BY 4.0. Credit “aiforlawyers.app (AI Vortex)” with the date and a link to <https://aiforlawyers.app/report/drafting>. Quotes belong to the people who wrote them: quote them with the link to the original post.

Report generated from the public record at <https://aiforlawyers.app>, cutoff Oct 26, 2026. Numbers are aggregates.